

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
EASTERN DIVISION  
No. 4:12-CV-125-D

ERNESTO CARABALLO, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 CAROLYN W. COLVIN, )  
 Acting Commissioner of Social Security, )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

**ORDER**

On May 31, 2013, Magistrate Judge Webb issued a Memorandum and Recommendation (“M&R”) [D.E. 28]. In the M&R, Judge Webb recommended that plaintiff’s motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 22] be granted, that defendant’s motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 24] be denied, and that the action be remanded to the Commissioner. Neither party objected to the M&R.

“The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge’s] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (alteration in original) (emphasis and quotation omitted). Absent a timely objection, “a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” Id. (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R and the record. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 28].

Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 22] is GRANTED, defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 24] is DENIED, and the action is REMANDED to the Commissioner under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

SO ORDERED. This 21 day of June 2013.

  
JAMES C. DEVER III  
Chief United States District Judge